Comment Set C.193: Nita Levin

SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
MR. JOHN BOCCIO
EIR PROJECT MANAGER CALLIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
C/O ENTRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES
225 BUSH STREET SUITE 1700
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

RE: THE ANTELOPE-PARDEE 500K TRANSMISSION PROJECT

IN THE TWO YEARS I HAVE LIVED IN LEONA VALLEY, I HAVE COME TO CHERISH THE QUIET AND PEACEFUL ATMOSPHERE, THE WILDLIFE, AND MOST OF ALL THE FRIENDLINESS OF MY NEIGHBORS.

IN AN EFFORT TO PRESERVE THESE ALMOST NONEXISTENT QUALITIES I AM WRITING THIS LETTER TO YOU IN THE HOPE YOU WILL RECONSIDER THE CURRENT PROPOSAL FOR ROUTING OF THE HIGH VOLTAGE TRANSMISSION LINES.

IN REVIEWING THE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT AND LISTENING TO THE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE FOREST SERVICE AT A RECENT MEETING AT OUR LEONA VALLEY TOWN COUNCIL, I AM DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUES WHICH ARE LISTED IN YOUR REPORT.

IT IS APPARENT ALSO THAT THE COST OF THIS ALTERNATE ROUTE 5 IS THE GREATEST SINCE THIS IS THE LONGEST AND IMPACTS THE MOST PRIVATE PROPERTY.

THE FOREST SERVICE IS RIGHTFULLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACT ON WILDLIFE BUT WE AS RESIDENTS ALSO HAVE NUMEROUS SPECIES OF WILDLIFE; QUAIL, OWLS, RAVENS, HAWKS, RABBITS, AND MANY OTHER CREATURES WHO WOULD BE DESTROYED. AS HUMAN BEINGS WE

C.193-1

WILL BE SUBJECTED TO THE NOISE FACTOR AS WELL AS THE HEALTH PROBLEMS AND THE ONGOING AND CONTINUING REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE OF SUCH A PROJECT.

C.193-2

NOT THE LEAST OF THE ADVERSE RESULTS WOULD BE THE INCREASED INSURANCE PREMIUMS DUE TO INCREASED FIRE RISK AND DEVALUATION OF PROPERTY DUE TO VIEWSCAPE INTERFERENCE AND HEALTH RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EMFS.

SURELY YOU CAN COME UP WITH SOME ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION TO THIS MOST COSTLY AND HAZARDOUS CURRENT PROPOSAL.

VERY TRULY YOURS,

8353 ELIZABETH LAKE ROAD

LEONA VALLEY, CA PHONE 661 270 9670

E MAIL NITALEVIN@ADELPHIA.NET

FAX: 661 270 9685

Response to Comment Set C.193: Nita Levin

- C.193-1 We agree that due to the increased length of Alternative 5, it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project.
- C.193-2 Thank you for your comments. Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values and General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns.